

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,655	03/01/2002	E. J. Sadler	317.001	7890	
23598 7	7590 01/06/2004		EXAMINER		
BOYLE FREDRICKSON NEWHOLM STEIN & GRATZ, S.C. 250 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE			DAVIS, CASSANDRA HOPE		
SUITE 1030	INSIIN AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202			3611		
			DATE MAILED: 01/06/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_	1/			
		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	1_			
•		10/087,6	55	SADLER ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examin	r	Art Unit				
		Cassandr	a Davis	3611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI resions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum re to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the sta statutory period will apply and w nly will, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a reply b tutory minimum of thirty (30) vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABAND	be timely filed days will be considered timel from the mailing date of this c ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fi	iled on <u>09 October 200</u>	<u>)3</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ✓ Claim(s) 1-4,7-10,12 and 13 is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 5,6 and 11 is/are rejected. 							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
10)⊠ 11)□	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on <u>01 March 2</u> Applicant may not request that any obgenerated and the properties of the oath or declaration is objected ander 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	<u>002</u> is/are: a)☐ acce jection to the drawing(s) ng the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. red if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
2) Notic	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			nary (PTO-413) Paper No nal Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 10/087,655 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," "the invention," etc.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the magnetic finding, spring biased clip, and hook and loop closure recited in claim 15 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Art Unit: 3611

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 5, 6, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Is unclear if the "optically clear adhesive" is the same as the optically clear adhesive recited in claim 1, line 5.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-4, 7-10, 12-13 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 5, 6, and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Finkelstein et al. US005608203A and Casagrande US006322655B1 are cited to show cards with lens.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cassandra Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-2223. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,655

Art Unit: 3611

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2168.

Cassandra Davis Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

CD December 23, 2003